IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

v.						
Kevin E. Mengel, III and K	athleen Barton	:	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management T e a copy on all defe vent that a defen hall, with its first ties, a Case Mana	'rack De endants. dant doo appeara agement	lay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel f signation Form in all civil cases at the time (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverses not agree with the plaintiff regarding sance, submit to the clerk of court and serve of Track Designation Form specifying the tracking signed.	of se id		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CA	SE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	prought under 28	U.S.C. §	§ 2241 through § 2255. ()		
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
commonly referred to as	complex and that	need sp	racks (a) through (d) that are secial or intense management by iled explanation of special)		
(f) Standard Management – 02/28/2019	Cases that do not	fall into	any one of the other tracks. (X)		
Date	Attorney-at	-law	Attorney for Plaintiff			
(215) 422-4100 (215) 422-410			gsmith@smblawfirm.com	_		
Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Davy Rodriguez

Case 2:19-cv-00871-CDJ Document 1 Filed 02/28/19 Page 2 of 24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 209 Fox Hall Drive, Apt F., Bel Air, MD 21015							
Address of Defendant: 2500 Bideford Court, West Chester PA 19382							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 207 North Chester Road, West Chester							
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:							
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:	_						
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No							
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No No							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.							
DATE: 02/28/2019 84189 **Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff** **Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	-						
Allorney-ul-Law / 110 de Fluintijj Allorney 1.D. # (ij uppilcaole)							
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)							
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
 I. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 							
4. Antitrust , 4. Marine Personal Injury							
	_						
7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos							
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):	_						
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	=-:						
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)							
I, Gregory A. Smith counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:							
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:							
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.							
DATE: 02/28/2019 84189 Autorney-Qt-Law Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)							
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.							

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS						
Davy Rodriguez				Kevin E. Mengel, III and Kathlenn Barton					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II BASIS OF HIRISD	ICTION (Please on "V" in (Due Pou Onlyd	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIPAL	DADTIES	(Plane on "V" in C	Dua Pou 6	on Plaintié
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 U.S. Government			(CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Citizen of This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)					2				
=				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 Foreign Country				□ 6 ———	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						ere for: Nature o	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	medicine enterin	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TTY	Drig Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Only Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other Description of Property 21 USC 881 Other Description of Property 21 USC 881 Other Labor Standards Act Other Labor Management Relations Description of Property Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement Income Security Act Description of Property Act Immigration Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 423 Withdra 28 USC PROPER 820 Copyrig 830 Patent 835 Patent New Dr. 840 Tradem SOCIAL S 861 HIA (1: 862 Black 1: 863 BUWC/ 864 SSID T 865 RSI (40 FEDERAL 870 Taxes (awal C 157 TY RIGHTS ghts - Abbreviated rug Application tark ECURITY 395ff) - Jung (923) DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI DIS(g)) - TAX SUITS U.S. Plaintiff endant) Chird Party	□ 375 False Clai □ 376 Qui Tam (□ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reag □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and □ 450 Commerci □ 460 Deportatic □ 470 Racketeer □ Corrupt O □ 480 Consumer □ 485 Telephone □ Protectior □ 490 Cable/Sat □ 850 Securities, □ Exchange □ 890 Other Stat □ 891 Agricultur □ 893 Environm □ 895 Freedom o Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administr Act/Revier Agency Do □ 950 Constitutic State State	ms Act (31 USC poportionm I Banking on Influence rganizatio C Credit c Consumo n Act TV //Commod sutory Actional Acts rat Acts on ative Procession consistive Procession consistive of consumon consistive Procession consistive of consumor consistive Procession consistive of consumor consistive Procession consistive of consumor consistive Procession consistive of	ed and ons let littles/
	moved from	Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	, Alambio	r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	- L	Aultidistr itigation irect File) -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO				, 3416					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DE	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: TX Yes No					
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE /	,		DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE 02/28/19		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEYO	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GF.		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVY RODRIGUEZ 209 Fox Hall Drive Apt F Bel Air, MD 21015

DOCKET NO.

VS.

KEVIN E. MENGEL, III.
2500 Bideford Court
West Chester, PA 19382
And
KATHLEEN BARTON
2500 Bideford Court
West Chester, PA 19382

Defendants

Plaintiff

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Davy Rodriguez is an adult individual with a physical address of 209 Fox Hall Drive, Apartment F, Bel Air, Maryland 21015.
- 2. Defendant Kevin E. Mengel, III, is an adult individual with a physical address of 2500 Bideford Court, West Chester, PA 19382.
- Defendant Kathleen Barton is an adult individual with a physical address of 2500
 Bideford Court, West Chester, PA 19382.

ALLEGATIONS OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Jurisdiction in this matter is based upon diversity of citizenship in accordance with 28 U.S.C. Section 1332.

- 5. The Plaintiff is seeking damages in excess of \$75,000 exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys fees.
- 6. The Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Maryland.
- 7. The Defendants are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 8. The accident at issue in this lawsuit took place in Chester County, Pennsylvania.
- 9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(a).

OPERATIVE FACTS

- 10. The facts and occurrences hereinafter stated took place on September 16, 2017 at or near 207 North Chester Road in West Chester, Chester County, Pennsylvania.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was the operator of a Ford pickup truck.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendant Mengel operated, maintained and/or controlled a Subaru automobile owned by Defendant Barton.
- 13. At all times material hereto Defendant Mengel was acting as the agent, employee, servant, and/or worker of Defendant Barton and in furtherance of her interests.
- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendant Barton acted and/or failed to act by and through her duly authorized agents, employees, servants, and/or workers, including but not limited to Defendant Mengel.
- On September 16, 2017 Plaintiff drove his truck from Maryland to visit his brother, who lived at 207 North Chester Road, West Chester, PA 19382.
- 16. During the drive, Plaintiff had a video camera filming his trip.
- 17. Plaintiff was proceeding lawfully and carefully on North Chester Road, intending to turn into his brother's driveway, when he was struck from behind by a vehicle

- operated by Defendant Mengel that was traveling at a dangerous, extreme, and excessive rate of speed.
- 18. The force of the impact caused Plaintiff's vehicle to flip onto its side.
- 19. The result of the impact was captured on Plaintiff's video.
- Plaintiff's vehicle was declared a total loss from the severe damage done by Defendant Mengel. (Photographs of the two vehicles are attached hereto as Exhibit "A.")
- Plaintiff was taken from the scene to the Paoli Hospital Emergency Room where Plaintiff was diagnosed with, among other injuries, a traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of the Defendants Plaintiff suffered serious and severe injuries including but are not limited to pain, suffering, injuries to his head, including a traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, concussion, headaches, and a loss/impairment of body function, some or all of which may be permanent in nature, to his great detriment and loss.

COUNT I

DAVY RODRIGUEZ V. KEVIN E. MENGEL, III

- 23. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. The negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendant Mengel includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. Failing to exercise reasonable care;
 - b. Operating his vehicle at an excessive rate of speed which was unsafe

- and dangerous under the conditions under the circumstances;
- c. Failure to have his vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- d. Failure to apply the brakes in a timely fashion as to avoid a collision;
- e. Failure to operate the vehicle in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;
- f. Operating the vehicle without due regard to the rights, safety and position of Plaintiff and in a manner so as to recklessly endanger Plaintiff;
- g. Failure to operate the vehicle in such a manner so as to have avoided creating a collision;
- h. Failure to observe the obvious and lawful proximity of the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- i. Failure to operate the vehicle in accordance with existing conditions;
- j. Failing to keep a safe distance between the Defendants' vehicle and Plaintiff's vehicle;
- k. Failing to avoid contact with Plaintiff's vehicle;
- 1. Failure to pay adequate attention to the conditions on the road;
- m. Causing the crash;
- n. Colliding with Plaintiff's vehicle;
- o. Driving in an unsafe fashion;
- p. Negligence per se;
- q. Driving too fast for conditions;
- r. Failing to pay adequate attention; and
- s. Causing injury to Plaintiff.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendants Plaintiff suffered serious and severe injuries including

but are not limited to pain, suffering, injuries to his head, including a traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, concussion, headaches, and a loss/impairment of body function, some or all of which may be permanent in nature, to his great detriment and loss.

- As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered a loss of life's pleasures, a loss of the ability to fully enjoy life and the inability to perform his usual and customary daily activities, duties, labors, occupation, avocations, some or all of which may be permanent in nature, to his great detriment and loss.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendants, Plaintiff was caused to undergo extensive, costly, and painful medical treatment in the past, and may have to undergo extensive, costly, and painful medical treatment in the future, to his great detriment and loss.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses in the nature of wage loss and property damage to his car, and may suffer wage loss in the future, to his great detriment and loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, in an amount in excess of Seventy Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, together with delay damages and any other relief to which plaintiff may be entitled under the law.

COUNT II

DAVY RODRIGUEZ V. KATHLEEN BARTON

29. Paragraphs 1 through 28 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- The negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Defendant Barton, individually and by and through her agents, including but not limited to Defendant Mengel, includes, but is not limited to the following:
 - a) Negligently entrusting her vehicle to an inexperienced and unsafe driver, Defendant Mengel;
 - b) Failing to appropriately monitor persons she allowed to use her vehicle, including Defendant Mengel;
 - c) Failure to exercise reasonable care;
 - d) Failure to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances;
 - e) Negligence as a matter of law;
 - f) Negligence per se; and
 - g) Vicarious liability for the negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of her agent, Defendant Mengel.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendants Plaintiff suffered serious and severe injuries including but are not limited to pain, suffering, injuries to his head, including a traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, concussion, headaches, and a loss/impairment of body function, some or all of which may be permanent in nature, to his great detriment and loss.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered a loss of life's pleasures, a loss of the ability to fully enjoy life and the inability to perform his usual and customary daily activities, duties, labors, occupation, avocations, some or all of which may be permanent in nature, to his great detriment and loss.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness

of Defendants, Plaintiff was caused to undergo extensive, costly, and painful

medical treatment in the past, and may have to undergo extensive, costly, and

painful medical treatment in the future, to his great detriment and loss.

34. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness

of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses in the nature of wage loss and

property damage to his car, and may suffer wage loss in the future, to his great

detriment and loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, in an

amount in excess of Seventy Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, together with delay damages

and any other relief to which plaintiff may be entitled under the law.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH MIRABELLA BLAKE, LLC

BY:

A. SMITH, ESOUIRE

KEVIN M. BLAKE, ESQUIRE

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATE: February 28, 2019

EXHIBIT A

























